Fabrication and Evaluation of Two-Junction Carbon Thin Film Photovoltaic Devices
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Abstract: In this work, we have fabricated the two-junction carbon photovoltaic devices which consisted of Al/fullerene (C60)/amorphous carbon (a-C)/C60/a-C/ITO glass structure. We also investigated their photovoltaic properties with the same bandgap and without involving the tunnel-connect layer. We found that the open-circuit voltage in the two-junction photovoltaic device almost doubled compared to the single-junction type and it confirmed that the tandem structure was successfully formed without any tunnel-junction although short-circuit current in the two-junction tended to decrease greatly in comparison to single-junction. Moreover, we studied the effect of film thickness of each film on the photovoltaic properties of two-junction carbon photovoltaic devices and as a result, there was a dependence of film thickness on the photovoltaic performance in the two-junction type. Thus, it can be concluded that the film thickness is one of the significant influences to enhance the photovoltaic performances and our results might be an important approach for fabricating the higher efficiency of two-junction carbon thin film photovoltaic devices made of different band gap.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Silicon (Si) has been studied as the common solar cell materials for more than six decades since the 1950’s because the energy which is obtained by solar cells using Si can be an alternative energy to replace fossil fuels [1, 2]. However, there is a problem in terms of cost to realize the higher power conversion efficiency and purity of Si. Therefore, some of inorganic materials such as CIGS, GaAs, CdTe, CdS and others have been developed as substitute materials in order to reduce the cost of solar cell production [3-5]. In addition, dye-sensitized solar cells [6], organic solar cells [7] using organic materials, and recently, perovskite solar cells [8] have attracted attention as the next generation solar cell due to their low cost although those solar cells have some problems related to their stability and efficiency.

In recent years, carbon has exhibited the great performance owing to its various outstanding properties for solar cell application since Prof. Sharon et al have reported the pioneer work on carbon solar cell in 1997 [9-11]. To date, among various carbon materials, such as fullerene [12], carbon nanotube (CNT) [13], graphene [14] and amorphous carbon (a-C) [15] have been implemented as basic materials for organic solar cell. In the case of a-C, this material is considered to be an attractive material because it is easy to deposit in large area and its band gap can be adjusted by changing the growth condition [16, 17]. However, the structural control and impurity doping of a-C for attaining the higher power conversion efficiency is still challenging.

The authors reported a-C/C60 all-carbon photovoltaic devices in 2013 [18] by using the nature that a-C is p-type [19] and C60 is n-type [20]. In addition, the a-C preparation was conducted by remote plasma cracking of C60 in our group. Since the a-C film and C60 film have the weak character of p-type and n-type semiconductor respectively [21, 22], we investigated the single-junction carbon thin film photovoltaic device. Very recently, the effect of sublimation temperature on the photovoltaic properties of C60/a-C device was reported by our group [23]. So far, the single-junction photovoltaic device showed the low power conversion efficiency and concerning to the previous experiment, we tried to achieve the better carbon photovoltaic device performance by device structure modification. In this study, we noticed that a method which can be utilized for improving the power conversion efficiency of organic photovoltaic device is by arranging the two-junction structure (tandem structure). We considered that this method can improve the power conversion efficiency of device by joining photovoltaic devices with different bandgap. Since it is possible to tune the band gap by changing the growth conditions, using a-C which is suitable for photovoltaic device fabrication with different band gap can be attempted for organic photovoltaic application. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report about the investigation of fullerene/a-C for tandem photovoltaic...
device application. Therefore, in this paper, we report the fabrication of two-junction carbon thin film photovoltaic devices with the same bandgap as a preliminary step for achieving the two-junction for the first time, focusing on the effect of film thickness of each film on the photovoltaic properties of two-junction carbon photovoltaic devices.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

In this study, the samples were prepared by the deposition of p-type a-C and n-type C_{60} on ITO glass substrate using ultra-high vacuum chamber (UHVC) with the base pressure at 10^{-8} Torr and followed by the deposition of Al electrode. The a-C thin films were deposited on ITO glass substrate by exposing N_{2} radical to sublimate C_{60} (99.5 %) in the UHVC. Our deposition system consists of C_{60} Knudsen cell, N_{2} radical cell, turbo molecular pump (TMP), load-lock chamber, and others which do not include the substrate heater. Moreover, the rf power, the rf frequency and N_{2} flow rate were 300 W, 13.56 MHz and 1.5 sccm, respectively. The pressure during the deposition of a-C was 1.1-1.3 × 10^{-3} Torr, and the sublimation temperature of C_{60} was 500 °C. The UHVC system is same as reported in ref.18. Regarding the C_{60} thin films, it was deposited on the a-C thin film by sublimating C_{60} at 500 °C using the same equipment. The pressure during deposition was 2.0-2.8 × 10^{-7} Torr. Regarding the Al electrode preparation, it was arranged by resistance heating method using other vacuum deposition equipment. The degree of vacuum at that time was about 3.0 × 10^{-4} Pa.

In order to evaluate the performance of a-C and C_{60} in this work, both a-C/C_{60} single-junction and two-junction photovoltaic devices were prepared separately, then, the performance of these samples was compared. A schematic view of the fabricated single-junction and two-junction photovoltaic devices is shown in Figure 1. Based on the Figure 1, our single-junction was composed by 100 nm of a-C and 50 nm of C_{60} which was considered as the basic structure, and to make the two-junction film, C_{60} and a-C were sequentially added between a-C and C_{60} basic structure. In addition, the film thickness of those inserted films was varied from 5 nm to 40 nm. Furthermore, the effect of film thickness was investigated.

For evaluating our samples, the current density-voltage (J-V) characteristics were measured under standard light of AM 1.5 illumination with 0.09 cm² of active area measurement and the photovoltaic parameters such as open circuit voltage (V_{OC}), short circuit current density (J_{SC}), fill factor (FF) and power conversion efficiency (PCE) were calculated from the J-V curves. Moreover, samples were also characterized by cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM), ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy using a laser with a wavelength of 532 nm.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Cross-Sectional TEM

In order to confirm whether a-C film and C_{60} film were deposited with the expected film thickness, the cross-sectional TEM images of prepared single-junction with 100 nm of a-C and 50 nm of C_{60} and two-junction with 100 nm of a-C, 20 nm of C_{60}, 20 nm of a-C and 50 nm of C_{60} were taken and these are shown in Figure 2. Although Al is formed as an electrode in our photovoltaic devices, for TEM measurement, Au was deposited on the surface of the film for protection.

From Figure 2, since it was possible to confirm the boundary between C_{60} and a-C as shown by the arrows, it was found that the film was formed with the expected thickness. Organic materials are susceptible to changes in state due to damage by electron beams received during processing or observation. Therefore, it was difficult to observe a lattice of C_{60} clearly because the observation target are organic materials.

3.2. Raman Spectroscopy

In order to confirm whether prepared samples were composed of C_{60} and a-C as expected, samples which consisted of each single layer film of a-C and C_{60}, a-C/C_{60} single-junction and two-junction were evaluated using Raman spectroscopy. In this analysis, we only applied the sample as described in the Figure 1 excluding the Al electrode.

![Figure 1: Schematic illustration of carbon thin film photovoltaic devices.](Image)
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Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra of single-junction and two-junction carbon photovoltaic device with different layer thickness. Figure 3 also includes the Raman spectrum of ITO glass which was being as the sample substrate. The intensity was normalized in each sample. In the Raman spectra for single layer film which contained C\textsubscript{60}, there are some peaks around 1427 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, 1460 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1567 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, also, in the single layer film which was composed by a-C, the broad peaks are observed around 1380 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1574 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. These results are in line with the literature value [24, 25, 26]. For the film which consisted of C\textsubscript{60} and a-C, the combination of peak from each single layer film was observed in the Raman spectra. In this data, we found the slight change in peak intensity ratio in the samples due to the different numbers of junction and different thickness although we applied the same growth condition for all of samples. Therefore, there is a high possibility that unevenness of deposition exists in the sample. Moreover, during the film deposition process, it might be possible that the substrate temperature rose and it changed the film state due to the different a-C circumstance.

In the a-C single layer spectrum, it is known that the intensity ratio ($I_D/I_G$) of the D-peak (broad peak around 1380 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and the G-peak (broad peak around 1574 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) relates to the cluster-size of sp\textsuperscript{2} carbon and it has been reported that the resistivity decreases along with an increase of size [25]. We applied this formula to determine the $I_D/I_G$ ratio which is shown in the Figure 3 although our calculation was not only for a single layer film of a-C. The values of Raman peak of each sample and their ratios are shown in Table 1. As a result, it was found that the peak intensity ratio of each sample is almost the same. Therefore, we concluded that it is unnecessary to consider the difference in resistance between each sample due to the difference in the state of a-C.

Figure 3: Raman spectra of carbon photovoltaic devices with different structures.

3.3. UV-Vis Absorption Spectroscopy

In order to confirm absorption of prepared samples, samples which consisted of each single layer film of a-C and C\textsubscript{60}, a-C/C\textsubscript{60} single-junction and two-junction were evaluated using UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy.
In this analysis, we only applied the sample as described in the Figure 1 excluding the Al electrode.

The reflectance and transmittance of each sample were measured using UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The absorbance was calculated from the reflectance and transmittance measurement and assumed that all light to the sample can be reflected, transmitted, and absorbed. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of a-C and C₆₀, a-C/C₆₀ single and two-junction films are shown in the Figure 4. The absorbance value in Figure 4 also involved the absorption of ITO glass as the sample substrate. Considering to the absorption of the ITO glass, the absorption of a C₆₀ single layer was observed from the ultraviolet range to 600 nm and the absorption of a-C single layer was observed from the ultraviolet range to 900 nm. As a result, it was found that our films were able to absorb the light in the visible region which is rich of the effective sunlight. Furthermore, in the single and two-junction films, the absorption characteristic showed the combination of absorption in each C₆₀ and a-C. By the result, we noticed that the absorbance value in this work increased due to an increase of film thickness. However, it was found that the prepared sample could not absorb the light in the absorption region completely as it was only 80-90% of maximum visible light could be absorbed in our devices. Therefore, there is a possibility that the absorbance is improved by further increasing of the film thickness.

### 3.4. Photovoltaic Properties

The photovoltaic characteristics of photovoltaic devices using a-C and C₆₀ for the single-junction and two-junction structures were evaluated. The single-junction as the basic structure has total layer thickness of around 150 nm and two-junction film has different total layer thickness depending on the thickness of inserted layer as shown in the Figure 1.

The J-V characteristics of each sample under AM 1.5 irradiation are shown in Figure 5 and the change of each photovoltaic parameters such as $J_{SC}$, $V_{OC}$, FF and PCE due to the changing of film thickness is shown in Figure 6. In Figure 6, the horizontal axis shows each film thickness of a-C and C₆₀ which were inserted between the basic structure, and zero value in the horizontal axis is the result of the single-junction structure. The photovoltaic parameters of all measured samples are listed in the Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure of two-junction type</th>
<th>$I_D$ [arb. unit]</th>
<th>$I_G$ [arb. unit]</th>
<th>$I_D/I_G$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a-C:100 nm, C₆₀:50 nm</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-C:100 nm, C₆₀:10 nm, a-C:10 nm, C₆₀:50 nm</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-C:100 nm, C₆₀:20 nm, a-C:20 nm, C₆₀:50 nm</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-C:100 nm, C₆₀:30 nm, a-C:30 nm, C₆₀:50 nm</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-C:100 nm, C₆₀:40 nm, a-C:40 nm, C₆₀:50 nm</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the Figure 5 and Figure 6, it is clearly seen that there is similarity performance between the fabricated single-junction and two-junction photovoltaic device when the thickness of intermediate films in the two-junction device was less than 10 nm. We supposed that the appearance of non-smooth surface in the thinner intermediate layer could be the reason for this case which might results in the contact of thin C₆₀ film and thick C₆₀ layer and also that of thin a-C and thick a-C layer. The highest $J_{SC}$ 0.086 mA/cm² was exhibited by the two-junction film with the 7.5 nm of intermediate layer thickness. It is due to the increasing of interface area due to the non-smooth surface of intermediate layer in the two-junction structure lead to the enhancement in its $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$.

In the two-junction film, $V_{OC}$ value almost doubled when it is compared with the single-junction type, especially for the thickness of intermediate films which was more than 10 nm in the two-junction type photovoltaic device. This increment might be caused by the tandem structure formation in photovoltaic device which improved their open-circuit voltage. Moreover, these $J_{SC}$ tended to decrease greatly in comparison to the single-junction. In these films, since the tunnel-junction layer was not involved in the fabricated two-junction photovoltaic device, it can be still considered that a tandem structure was successfully attained without using the tunnel-junction by considering the $V_{OC}$ increment. The energy level alignment of tandem photovoltaic device is shown in Figure 7 [23]. The constant current flows by the recombination of photo-generated electrons and holes via the defect levels at the thin layer. By observing our photovoltaic parameters, the film thickness in the two-junction type obviously affected its photovoltaic performance, then, we noticed there is the film thickness effect which can maximize some photovoltaic parameters. In this experiment, it was found that both $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$ decrease with increasing the film thickness of inserted layers.

In order to clarify the reason for the performance change due to the film thickness effect, the series resistance ($R_s$) was calculated from the slope of the J-V curve around $V_{OC}$ and the results of calculated $R_s$ are shown in the Figure 8. In this experiment, $R_s$ was defined by the J-V characteristics under illumination.

### Table 2: Comparison of the Photovoltaic Performance in Each Device Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Each film thickness of added film [nm]</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ [mA/cm²]</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ [V]</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE [%]</th>
<th>$R_s$ [kΩ cm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Single-junction)</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0748</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0247</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>23.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>38.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>96.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Effect of film thickness on the photovoltaic performance.
with the current is zero. As shown in Figure 8, it is observed that the $R_S$ value tends to increase along with increasing of film thickness in the intermediate films. There was a significant increase in the $R_S$ value when the intermediate film thickness thicker than 10 nm. This change might be caused by the addition of resistance component on the joint surface due to the formation of two-junction structure. In addition, comparing the $R_S$ and $J_{SC}$ values, we noticed that both values are correlated, i.e. $J_{SC}$ decreases and $R_S$ increases with film thickness. Hence, it can be viewed that the $J_{SC}$ is greatly influenced by the $R_S$. This result is consistent with the idea of the solar cell equivalent circuit and we considered that the value of calculated $R_s$ has some reliability.

By forming two-junction type using a-C/C$_{60}$, the total value of $R_S$ increased, as consequence, the $J_{SC}$ and FF decreased which induced the decreasing of PCE. However, due to the increasing of $V_{OC}$ value in our work, we believe that our two-junction structure has the potential for wider application, for example, for the photoelectric material which requires the high voltage like a sensor. The best $V_{OC}$ in this work is 0.612 V which is reached by the 20 nm of intermediate layer in the two-junction tandem device as shown in the Table 2.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, we fabricated both single-junction and two-junction photovoltaic devices which were arranged by a-C and C$_{60}$ thin films, and their photovoltaic and optical properties were evaluated.

In the two-junction type, when the thickness of the intermediate film was configured for more than 10 nm, the $V_{OC}$ almost doubled compared to the single-junction type. Thus, we considered that the formation of the tandem structure was successfully established. In addition, it was found that the two-junction photovoltaic performance depended on their film thickness. By forming a tandem structure, it could be expected to improve $V_{OC}$, but it could not be expected to improve other parameters due to an increase in resistance component. Therefore, in order to improve the overall performance, we consider that it is necessary to reduce the resistance component of carbon films by impurity doping and defect reduction.
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